

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Evolution and Conservation of Biological Diversity in South American Headstanding Fishes

Overview: Biodiversity conservation remains a pressing environmental issue in tropical South American rivers, where habitat degradation and increasing civilization threaten the world's greatest diversity of freshwater fishes. Conservation strategies depend critically on accurate and biologically useful measures of diversity. This study develops a novel method for measuring one type of biodiversity (morphological diversity) and applies that method to understand the evolutionary origin and geographic distribution of morphological diversity in two closely related groups of South American headstanding fishes. Two important questions are addressed:

Evolution: Why is biological diversity distributed unevenly in the tree-of-life? How and why have some groups of organisms experienced extraordinary anatomical variation while other groups contain species that look and act similar?

Conservation: Does species richness accurately predict biological diversity in different geographic regions? Will conservation strategies designed to preserve many species tend to protect the most distinctive species as well?



Study System

- Two sister clades
 - Anostomoidea (Figure 1) and Curimatoidea (Figure 2)
- Related to piranhas and tetras
- Anostomoidea: highly diverse
 - 130 species
 - Highly variable teeth and jaws
 - Variable diets, specialists on plants, insects, sponges, fish scales
- Curimatoidea: not at all diverse
 - 110 species
 - All lack jaw teeth and eat detritus
 - All have similar jaw shapes
- Ideal system for evolutionary study
 - Monophyly, equal species richness, broad sympatry rule out unequal ages of origin, unequal net speciation rate, different environmental histories as agents of diversification
- Relevant to conservation
 - Comprise up to 90% fish harvest
 - Valued in aquarium trade
 - Many rare species




Figure 2: Three curimatooid skulls. *Steindachnerina dobula* (top), *Potamorhina altamazonica* (middle), *Pseudocurimata nigricans* (bottom)

Objectives and Expected Outcomes

Develop a novel method for measuring morphological diversity to construct a phylogeny (tree-of-life) for the Anostomoidea to cover undescribed species, clarify taxonomy, evaluate whether different or similar evolutionary processes likely produced the modern morphological diversities in the two clades, determine which South American regions represent centers of endemism and calculate the morphological diversity of each region to determine whether species richness accurately predicts morphological diversity in anostomoid and curimatooid lineages.

Measuring Diversity

- Characteristic skull shape of each species determined from location of 21 "landmarks" located around the skull (Figure 3)
- 151 species, 1257 total specimens measured
- Skull shapes treated with relative warps (principal components) analysis
- Generates a scatter of species on independent morphospace axes (Figure 4)
- Species near each other in morphospace are similar, distant species have very different shapes
- Morphological diversity is measured as the variance or volume of the species cloud




Figure 3: The 21 landmarks that form the basis of the diversity metric. Skull of *Curimata albura*, drawing by B. Sidlauskas




Figure 4: Morphospace plot showing the scatter, or morphological diversity, of the two groups of fishes

- Results confirm the Anostomoidea to be much more morphologically diverse than the Curimatoidea, with twice the variance and six times the volume.
- This method can measure morphological diversity in any group of organisms.

Evolution

- Computer simulations of evolution (Figure 5) reveal that in order to achieve such hugely different morphological diversities, these groups must have experienced different rates of morphological evolution.
- The most likely rate of morphological change in the Anostomoidea is double that in the Curimatoidea
- Possible explanation: The dramatic lengthening of the quadrate bone in anostomoids may have promoted evolutionary change by relaxing a structural constraint on jaw orientation




Figure 5: Likelihood surface illustrating the probabilities of evolving the Anostomoidea and Curimatoidea under various combinations of evolutionary rates (R). It is very likely that the historical rate of morphological change in the anostomoids was higher than in the curimatoids.

Phylogenetics

- Work in progress will reconstruct the tree-of-life (phylogeny) for the Anostomoidea in a collaborative project with Richard Vari, curator of fishes at the Smithsonian.
- A preliminary tree based on morphological characters appears in Figure 6.
- Phylogenetic reconstruction will permit more detailed evolutionary and biogeographic questions to be asked and answered.
- In particular, knowledge of the phylogeny will reveal when the morphological diversity of the Anostomoidea began to increase greatly.




Figure 6: Preliminary phylogeny for the Anostomoidea and Curimatoidea, based on morphological data and largely compiled from the work of Vari and Winterbottom.

New Species

- Three new species were discovered during this work
- Three other specimens may represent new species
- Description of *Pseudodanios winterbottomi* (Figure 7) (Winterbottom's False Anostomus) is in press in *Copeia*



Figure 7: Holotype of *Pseudodanios winterbottomi*. Drawing by B. Sidlauskas

Conservation



Figure 8: Regions of freshwater fish endemism within tropical South America. Curimatooid data drawn from the work of Vari.

- Species richness is a generally accurate predictor of morphological diversity.
- However, some regions (e.g. Guyana) have more morphological diversity than would be predicted from species richness alone.
- Such centers of increased morphological diversity should be afforded increased conservation priority.




Figure 9: Positive relationship between species richness and morphological diversity (morphospace volume) in the 13 regions of endemism identified in Figure 8

Collection Building / Outreach



This research has added many new specimens and tissue samples to natural history collections in Chicago, Philadelphia and Lima, Peru.



Results are communicated to the public via the Field Museum's Scientist at the Field and Members' Night programs.